

I will prove $\forall x \forall y (Px \rightarrow (Py \rightarrow \neg x = y)) \vdash \neg \exists x Px$.

I will prove $\forall x \forall y (Px \rightarrow (Py \rightarrow \neg x = y)) \vdash \neg \exists x Px$.

By instantiating the variables x and y in the premiss with the same constant, one can show that any object satisfying P must be different from itself. Hence there can't be such an object.

I will prove $\forall x \forall y (Px \rightarrow (Py \rightarrow \neg x = y)) \vdash \neg \exists x Px$.

By instantiating the variables x and y in the premiss with the same constant, one can show that any object satisfying P must be different from itself. Hence there can't be such an object.

For the Natural Deduction proof I assume Pa (The plan is to apply \exists Elim later using the assumption $\exists x Px$). Then I derive from Pa and the premiss a contradiction. Then I assume $\exists x Px$ and discharge Pa by applying \exists Elim. By applying \neg Intro I conclude $\neg \exists x Px$.

$$\forall x \forall y (Px \rightarrow (Py \rightarrow \neg x = y))$$

This is the premiss.

$$\frac{\frac{\forall x \forall y (Px \rightarrow (Py \rightarrow \neg x=y))}{\forall y (Pa \rightarrow (Py \rightarrow \neg a=y))}}{Pa \rightarrow (Pa \rightarrow \neg a=a)}$$

I apply \forall Elim twice using the same constant.

$$Pa \quad \frac{\frac{\forall x \forall y (Px \rightarrow (Py \rightarrow \neg x=y))}{\forall y (Pa \rightarrow (Py \rightarrow \neg a=y))}}{Pa \rightarrow (Pa \rightarrow \neg a=a)}$$

Later I will assume $\exists x Px$ and show that this leads to a contradiction. So I assume $Pa \dots$

$$\frac{Pa \quad \frac{\frac{\forall x \forall y (Px \rightarrow (Py \rightarrow \neg x=y))}{\forall y (Pa \rightarrow (Py \rightarrow \neg a=y))}}{Pa \rightarrow (Pa \rightarrow \neg a=a)}}{Pa \rightarrow \neg a=a}}$$

and apply \rightarrow Elim.

$$\begin{array}{c} \forall x \forall y (Px \rightarrow (Py \rightarrow \neg x = y)) \\ \hline \forall y (Pa \rightarrow (Py \rightarrow \neg a = y)) \\ \hline Pa \quad Pa \rightarrow (Pa \rightarrow \neg a = a) \\ \hline Pa \quad Pa \rightarrow \neg a = a \end{array}$$

This is repeated,

$$\frac{Pa}{\frac{\frac{Pa}{\frac{\frac{\forall x \forall y (Px \rightarrow (Py \rightarrow \neg x = y))}{\forall y (Pa \rightarrow (Py \rightarrow \neg a = y))}}{Pa \rightarrow (Pa \rightarrow \neg a = a)}}{Pa \rightarrow \neg a = a}}{\neg a = a}}$$

which gives me $\neg a = a$

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{\frac{\frac{\forall x \forall y (Px \rightarrow (Py \rightarrow \neg x=y))}{\forall y (Pa \rightarrow (Py \rightarrow \neg a=y))}}{Pa \rightarrow (Pa \rightarrow \neg a=a)}}{Pa} \\ \frac{Pa}{\neg a=a} \end{array}$$

This is an application of =Intro.

$$\frac{
 \frac{
 \frac{
 \frac{
 \frac{
 \forall x \forall y (Px \rightarrow (Py \rightarrow \neg x=y))
 }{
 \forall y (Pa \rightarrow (Py \rightarrow \neg a=y))
 }{
 Pa \rightarrow (Pa \rightarrow \neg a=a)
 }{
 Pa \rightarrow \neg a=a
 }{
 Pa
 }{
 \frac{
 [a=a]
 }{
 \neg \exists x Px
 }
 }
 }{
 \neg a=a
 }
 }{
 Pa
 }
 }{
 Pa \rightarrow \neg a=a
 }
 }{
 \neg \exists x Px
 }
 }
 }{
 \neg \exists x Px
 }
 }
 }{
 \neg \exists x Px
 }
 }
 }{
 \neg \exists x Px
 }
 }$$

I apply \neg -Intro to $\exists x Px$. I can't discharge any assumption because I didn't assume $\exists x Px$.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\forall x \forall y (Px \rightarrow (Py \rightarrow \neg x=y)) \\
\hline
\forall y (Pa \rightarrow (Py \rightarrow \neg a=y)) \\
\hline
Pa \quad Pa \rightarrow (Pa \rightarrow \neg a=a) \\
\hline
Pa \quad Pa \rightarrow \neg a=a \\
\hline
[a=a] \quad \neg a=a \\
\hline
\exists x Px \quad \neg \exists x Px
\end{array}$$

Following my plan, I assume $\exists x Px$.

$$\frac{\exists x Px}{\neg \exists x Px} \frac{[a=a] \quad \frac{[Pa] \quad \frac{[Pa] \quad \frac{[Pa] \quad \frac{\forall x \forall y (Px \rightarrow (Py \rightarrow \neg x=y))}{\forall y (Pa \rightarrow (Py \rightarrow \neg a=y))}{Pa \rightarrow (Pa \rightarrow \neg a=a)}}{Pa \rightarrow \neg a=a}}{\neg a=a}}{\neg \exists x Px}}{\neg \exists x Px}$$

This is a correct application of \exists Elim because the only undischarged assumption containing a is Pa and $\neg \exists x Px$ doesn't contain a . So I can discharge the assumptions of Pa .

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\exists x Px}{\neg \exists x Px} \\
 \frac{[a=a] \quad \frac{\frac{[Pa] \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\forall x \forall y (Px \rightarrow (Py \rightarrow \neg x=y))}{\forall y (Pa \rightarrow (Py \rightarrow \neg a=y))}{[Pa] \quad Pa \rightarrow (Pa \rightarrow \neg a=a)}}{Pa \rightarrow \neg a=a}}{\neg a=a}}{\neg \exists x Px}}{\exists x Px}
 \end{array}$$

I still need to discharge the assumption $\exists x Px$. To this end I assume it and obtain a contradiction

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\frac{\frac{[a=a]}{\neg \exists x Px}}{[\exists x Px]} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{[Pa]}{\neg a=a}}{Pa \rightarrow \neg a=a}}{[Pa]} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\forall x \forall y (Px \rightarrow (Py \rightarrow \neg x=y))}{\forall y (Pa \rightarrow (Py \rightarrow \neg a=y))}}{Pa \rightarrow (Pa \rightarrow \neg a=a)}}{[Pa]} \quad Pa \rightarrow \neg a=a}}{Pa \rightarrow \neg a=a}}{[Pa]} \quad \neg a=a}}{\neg \exists x Px} \quad [\exists x Px]}{\neg \exists x Px}
\end{array}$$

Using \neg -Intro I discharge both assumptions of $\exists x Px$ and the proof is complete..